Total number of complaints	s = 34				
	Totals	Percentage %		Totals	Percentage %
Complaints deal with at Frontline Resolution	20		Complaints Investigated	14	
Resolved within 5 days	20	100	Resolved within 20 days*	12	100
Extension to 5 days			Extension to 20 days		
Outcome of Complaint			Outcome of Complaint		
Upheld & Resolved	10	50	Upheld & Resolved	2	14
Upheld & Escalated	1	5	Partially Upheld	4	29
Partially Upheld	2	10	Not upheld	5	36
Not Upheld	7	35	Invalid	3	21
Escalated			Withdrawn		
Average days to resolve complaint		1	Average days to resolve complaint		2

<sup>\*</sup> Please note two 'Stage 2' complaints were completed out with the 20 day deadline, but no authorisation sought. This was due to an initial processing error.

Complaint	Case	Decision	Comments	Learning Points?
Type	No.			(1. Improvement(s) needed? 2. Action(s) & 3. Monitor Check)
Stage 2	78641	Invalid	Takes 2 PH days into account	1. This complaint was about a Decision taken several years ago by
			All 4 Stage 2 complaints in Q1 received	the Board. Is our customer guidance clear that we cannot accept
			from same person	such complaints?
				2. Customer information is clear on this
Stage 2	SC/010	Invalid	Takes 2 PH days into account	1. Complaint not valid as it was not about a CC process – be clear
			Complaint related to another	on this
			organisation's procedures	2. Clear response provided
Stage 2	SC/011	Not		1. Complaint about classing previous complaint as invalid – clear
		Upheld		process?
				2. Yes, our procedure is clear
Stage 2	SC/012	Not	Takes 2 PH days into account	2. Give clear response
		Upheld	Complainant behaviour unacceptable –	3. Do not communicate with individual if further complaints on this
			policy on unacceptable behaviour invoked	subject are received
Frontline	FL/087	Upheld		1. Complaint caused by delay to processing case – late RPID report
				2. Head of Team asked to feed dissatisfaction back to PAO
				3. Trend continuing?
Frontline	FL/088	Upheld	Good example of inter-team working	1. Delay between issuing Decision and display of Decision on
				website
				2. Investigated and problem identified - staff worked across teams
				to resolve problem
				3. Is new system running smoothly? - Check with FM
Stage 2	82890	Partially		Staff need to take care to check historic files before responding
		Upheld		the FOI requests, to make sure info is correct. Line manager has
				spoken to Casework Officer.

Complaint	Case	Decision	Comments	Learning Points?
Type	No.			(1. Improvement(s) needed? 2. Action(s) & 3. Monitor Check)
Stage 2	SC/013	Not	Takes into account 1&1/2days PH	No evidence to back up allegations
		Upheld		
Stage 2	83460	Partially	Takes into account 1&1/2days PH	Planning - procedure being reviewed. There were crossed wires in
		Upheld		this case, leading to a delay in getting back to the customer.
Stage 2	SC/014	Not	Takes into account 1&1/2days PH	Customer satisfied with CEO response. However, we would not
		Upheld		have received complaint 2 if complaint 1 had been dealt with. No
				action was taken by Reg team when complaint 1 was received.
				HoD has reminded C&CS team that she must be aware of all
				complaints received and SMT reminded that it is their responsibility
				to either d/w stage 2 complaints are allocate to a suitable B2/3.
Stage 2	SC/015	Not	Takes into account 1&1/2days PH	As above
		Upheld		
Frontline	FL/090	Upheld		staff should not have phones on Voicemail unless this is really
				needed.
Frontline	FL/091	Upheld		as above
Frontline	FL/092	Upheld		as above - reminder issues to all staff
Frontline	FL/093	Upheld		IT issue - complaint came in at stage 1 but escalated to stage 2 for
				investigation. DS to ensure IT procedures for staff leaving and their
				email accounts is followed correctly.
Stage 2	84699	Upheld		The complaint may not have been received if the customer's
				original letter had been answered. Highlights issues of ownership -
				staff need to agree who will take responsibility for dealing with case
				and communicating with customer. Also highlights need to get
				cases onto CIS, which DS is following up.

Complaint	Case	Decision	Comments	Learning Points?
Type	No.			(1. Improvement(s) needed? 2. Action(s) & 3. Monitor Check)
Frontline	FL/097	Upheld &	Whilst there is a note on the complainant's	This case has been included in the 2017 Census wash-up meeting
		Resolved	individual record on CIS, there may need	to ensure we cover these points when designing the 2018 Census
			to be a flag put on records where there is	form.
			a requirement for large text format	
			documents to be issued. This will be	
			raised with the CIS Team.	
			Also, as suggested by the complainant,	
			the RNIB and Dementia Dept of Stirling	
			University should be contacted regarding	
			legislation on visual impairment	
			compatibility and to obtain advice on	
			production of forms, etc.	
Frontline	FL/098	Upheld &	-	This is a CIS issue which Head of C&CS has followed up, as there
		Resolved		are GDPR implications, for length of time it is taking to update
				records. The record has been updated and the CIS change made
	FI // 00	5 (1)		so that changes are now easy to accommodate.
Frontline	FL/100	Partially		Check if this case has now progressed as it was held in abeyance
- "	FI /4.00	Upheld		since April 2017 waiting for RPID report.
Frontline	FL/102	Not		Lesson = important for casework officers to be aware when
Ensur (Para)	FI /400	Upheld		application forms change on website. This is now done.
Frontline	FL/106	Partially		Need to keep applicant updated if there are delays in processing
		Upheld		application. Head of C&CS following up to see if case now
0000		N1/A	D : 1100 1 ::	resolved and how applicant is being kept informed.
SPSO Re	eview	N/A	Review upheld CC decision	Need to keep applicant updated if there are delays in processing
				application. Head of C&CS following up to see if case now
				resolved and how applicant is being kept informed.